spectroscopy) of $(CF_3)_2POH^2$ and $(CF_3)_2P(O)C(CH_3)_3^2$ (0.067 g, 0.16 mmol of each component).

Reaction of $(CF_3)_2$ POH with $(CH_3)_3$ Cl. $(CF_3)_2$ POH² (0.101 g, 0.54 mmol) was allowed to react with excess (CH₃)₃CCl for 4 days at 70 °C. Vacuum fractionation gave a mixture of (CF₃)₂POH and $(CF_3)_2 P(O) C(CH_3)_3 \ (0.094 \ g), \ HCl \ (0.015 \ g, \ 0.41 \ mmol), \ and$ unreacted (CH₃)₃Cl.

Thermal Stability of $(CF_3)_2 POC(CH_3)_3$. A sample of $(CF_3)_2 P$ -OC(CH₃)₃ was quantitatively recovered unchanged after heating at 70 °C for 5 h.

Treatment of $(CF_3)_2POC(CH_3)_3$ with $(CH_3)_3CCI$. A sample of $(CF_3)_2 POC(CH_3)_3$ (~1 mmol) was combined with an equal molar quantity of (CH₃)₃CCl in a 5-mm o.d. NMR tube. Examination by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy after 2 days at room temperature showed that no detectable rearrangement had occurred. The mixture was heated to 70 °C for 2 days but again, according to the NMR spectra, no rearrangement had occurred.

Treatment of $(CF_3)_2POC(CH_3)_3$ with CH_3I . Approximately equimolar quantities of $(CF_3)_2POC(CH_3)_3$ (~1 mmol) and CH_3I showed no sign of rearrangement after 2 weeks at 25 °C according to NMR spectroscopy.

Reaction of (CF₃)₂PCl with Benzyl Alcohol. (CF₃)₂PCl¹⁶ (0.190 g, 0.93 mmol) and benzyl alcohol (0.14 g, 1.3 mmol) were heated at 70 °C for 4 h. Vacuum fractionation gave (CF₃)₂POH² (0.115 g, 0.62 mmol) and an oil of low volatility which was not identified.

Reaction of (CH₃)₃CI with (CH₃)₃N. (CH₃)₃CI (0.295 g, 1.61 mmol) and (CH₃)₃N (0.096 g, 1.60 mmol) reacted slowly at room temperature, and rapidly at 100 °C. Vacuum fractionation after 24 h of reaction at 100 °C gave $(CH_3)_2C=CH_2$ (0.071 g, 1.27 mmol) and a trace of starting materials. The NMR spectrum of the white involatile salt showed only signals due to (CH₃)₃NH⁺I⁻.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Research Council of Canada for financial support of this work.

Registry No. (CF₃)₂POCH₃, 684-25-3; (CF₃)₂POC₂H₅, 1692-49-5; $(CF_3)_2POCH(CH_3)_2$, 71009-82-0; $(CF_3)_2POC(CH_3)_3$, 4571-88-4; $(CF_3)_2PSCH_2CH_3$, 71009-83-1; $(CF_3)_2PSCH(CH_3)_2$, 71009-84-2; (CF₃)₂PSC(CH₃)₃, 1733-46-6; (CF₃)₂P(O)OCH₂CH₃, 71009-85-3;

 $(CF_3)_2P(O)OCH(CH_3)_2$, 71009-86-4; $(CF_3)_2P(O)SCH_3$, 71009-87-5; $(CF_3)_2P(O)SCH_2CH_3$, 71009-88-6; $(CF_3)_2P(O)SCH(CH_3)_2$, 71009-89-7; $(CF_3)_2P(S)OCH_3$, 71040-58-9; $(CF_3)_2P(S)OCH_2CH_3$, 71040-58-9; $(CF_3)_2P(S)OCH_2CH_3$, 71040-58-9; $(CF_3)_2P(S)OCH_3$, 71009-58-9; $(CF_3)_$ 71009-90-0; $(CF_3)_2P(S)OCH(CH_3)_2$, 71009-91-1; $(CF_3)_2P(S)SCH_3$, 18799-79-6; $(CF_3)_2P(S)SCH_2CH_3$, 71009-92-2; $(CF_3)_2P(S)SC-H(CH_3)_2$, 71009-93-3; $(CF_3)_2P(S)SC(CH_3)_3$, 71009-94-4; $(CF_3)_2$ -P(O)C(CH₃)₃, 71040-59-0; (CF₃)₂PP(CF₃)₂, 2714-60-5; (CF₃)₂PS₂H, 18799-75-2; $(CH_3)_3CI$, 558-17-8; $(CF_3)_2P(S)OH$, 35814-49-4; $(CF_3)_2P(S)OC(CH_3)_3$, 71009-95-5; $(CF_3)_2P(O)CI$, 646-71-9; $(CH_3)_3NH^+(CF_3)_2PSO^-$, 71009-96-6; $(CF_3)_2P(O)N(CH_3)_2$, 3331-12-2; (CF₃)₂P(S)Cl, 18799-82-1; (CF₃)₂P(S)N(CH₃)₂, 18799-80-9; (CF₃)₂PCl, 650-52-2; (CF₃)₂POH, 359-65-9; (CH₃)₂CHOH, 67-63-0; (CH₃)₃COH, 75-65-0; (CH₃)₃CCl, 513-36-0; (CH₃)₃CSH, 75-66-1; (CH₃)₂C=CH₂, 115-11-7; CH₃OH, 67-56-1; CH₃CH₂SH, 75-08-1; (CH₃)₂CHSH, 75-33-2; CH₃CH₂OH, 64-17-5; CH₃SH, 74-93-1; (CH₃)₃N, 75-50-3; benzyl alcohol, 100-51-6.

References and Notes

- H. J. Emeléus and J. D. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., 375 (1959).
 J. E. Griffiths and A. B. Burg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 3442 (1962).
 K. Gosling and A. B. Burg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 2113 (1965).
 R. G. Cavell and H. J. Emeléus, J. Chem. Soc., 5825 (1964).
 A. B. Durg et al. J. Phys. Chem. Com. 100 (1966).

- (5) A. B. Burg and I. B. Mishra, *Inorg. Chem.*, 8, 1199 (1969).
 (6) A. B. Burg and D. K. Kang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 92, 1901 (1970).
 (7) A. A. Pinkerton and R. G. Cavell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 93, 2384 (1971).
- (8) H. J. Emeléus, R. N. Haszeldine, and R. C. Paul, J. Chem. Soc., 563 (1955).
- (9) A. A. Pinkerton and R. G. Cavell, *Inorg. Chem.*, 10, 2720 (1971).
 (10) R. C. Dobbie, L. F. Doty, and R. G. Cavell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 90, 2015 (1968).
- (11) K. Gosling and A. B. Burg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 2011 (1968).
 (12) F. W. Bennett, H. J. Emeléus, and R. N. Haszeldine, J. Chem. Soc.,
- (12) 1565 (1953).
 (13) K. J. Packer, J. Chem. Soc., 960 (1963).
- K. J. Packel, J. Chem. Soc., 500 (1965).
 J. W. Emsley, J. Feeny, and L. H. Sutcliffe, "High Resolution NMR Spectroscopy", Pergamon, London, 1965.
 R. Couper and D. R. Stranks, "Techniques of Inorganic Chemistry", Vol VI, H. B. Jonassen and A. Weissberger, Eds., Wiley, New York, 106 c. 7 (2010).
- 1966, p 6.
 (16) A. B. Burg and J. F. Nixon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 356 (1964).
 (17) A. B. Burg and A. J. Sarkis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 238 (1965).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003

Transition-Metal Eight-Coordination. 13. Synthesis, Characterization, and Crystal and Molecular Structure of the Schiff-Base Chelate Bis(N, N'-disalicylidene-1, 2-phenylenediamino) zirconium(IV) Benzene Solvate

RONALD D. ARCHER,* ROBERTA O. DAY, and MARVIN L. ILLINGSWORTH

Received February 21, 1979

As part of our program to elucidate eight-coordinate complexes and their polymeric counterparts we have isolated and structurally characterized the model Schiff-base chelate bis(N,N'-disalicylidene-1,2-phenylenediamino) zirconium(IV), $Zr(dsp)_2$, as the benzene solvate $Zr(dsp)_2 \cdot 2.5C_6H_6$. The synthesis involves a condensation between tetrakis(salicylaldehydato)zirconium(IV), Zr(sal)₄, and o-phenylenediamine, a method which also allows the synthesis of analogous coordination polymers. The Zr(dsp)₂ unit is an eight-coordinate dodecahedral species with nitrogen donors in the dodecahedral A position and oxygen donors in the B positions in accord with theoretical predictions. The chelating ligands span the mam edges. The Zr-O bonds average 2.10 Å, which is appreciably shorter than the Zr-N distance of 2.43 Å. The donor atoms are in an almost perfect dodecahedral array with the trapezoidal planes intersecting at 89.2°, even though the chelating ligands are quite puckered from these planes. The $Zr(dsp)_2 2.5C_6H_6$ solvate crystallizes in the space group $P\overline{1}$ with Z = 2, a = 13.037 (4) Å, b = 13.669 (6) Å, c = 14.500 (2) Å, $\alpha = 98.81$ (2)°, $\beta = 116.47$ (2)°, and $\gamma = 92.78$ (3)°.

Introduction

The monomeric Schiff-base chelate bis(N,N'-disalicylidene-1,2-phenylenediamino)zirconium(IV), Zr(dsp)₂, has been synthesized and characterized as a model for analogous polymers, which have been prepared¹ as part of a program to develop tractable, thermally stable coordination polymers

containing nonrigid metal centers. The molecular structure of the benzene solvate has been determined by means of single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis in order to learn the detailed disposition of two quadridentate Schiff-base ligands about a d⁰ metal ion. Previous structural studies² with this ligand for lower coordination numbers have shown that the ligand can coordinate in either a planar or a nonplanar fashion.

Transition-Metal Eight-Coordination

Of particular concern were the positioning of the donor atoms about the metal and the flexibility allowed the remainder of the chelating ligand. As is evident from this study, the donor atoms position themselves according to the d^0 extension of Orgel's rule for eight-coordinate species,³ even though the ligands themselves are quite skewed from the trapezoidal planes occupied by the donor atoms.

Experimental Section

Reagents. Reagent grade or equivalent solvents and chemicals were used. Tetrakis(2,4-pentanedionato)zirconium(IV), $Zr(acac)_4$, was either purchased from Alfa-Ventron or prepared from zirconyl chloride via the procedure of Young and Arch.⁴ The compound was recrystallized from benzene/petroleum ether (bp 61–70 °C) prior to use. Salicylaldehyde was distilled under reduced pressure prior to its use in the synthesis of $Zr(sal)_4$. Nitrogen atmospheres were used in the presence of free amines or the easily hydrolyzable $Zr(sal)_4$.

N, N'-Disalicylidene-1,2-phenylenediamine. The Schiff base was synthesized according to previously published procedures;⁵ mp 165–166 °C (uncor). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₁₆N₂O₂: C, 76.0; H, 5.10; N, 8.87. Found: C, 75.8; H, 5.22; N, 9.05.

Tetrakis(salicylaldehydato)zirconium(IV), Zr(sal)₄.⁶ This pale yellow solid compound was prepared from 2.69 g (5.5 mmol) of recrystallized Zr(acac)₄, mp 194–195 °C, dissolved in 60 mL (0.57 mol) of salicylaldehyde, and slowly distilled under reduced pressure (51 °C, 2–3 torr, 72 h). Filtration under nitrogen and multiple petroleum ether (bp 61–70 °C) washes produced 3.02 g of product; >95% yield; mp 208–209 °C (uncor). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{20}O_8Zr$: C, 58.5; H, 3.51. Found: C, 58.8; H, 3.57.

Tetrakis(3-methoxysalicylaldehydato)zirconium(IV). $Zr(acac)_4$ (3.8 g, 7.9 mmol) was dissolved under nitrogen in 48.5 g (319 mmol) of hot (53 °C) 3-methoxysalicylaldehyde, which had been recrystallized from petroleum ether. The clear orange solution was slowly evacuated, and we proceeded as with the parent compound. After 3 days the hot reaction mixture was filtered, washed several times with petroleum ether (bp 61–70 °C), and dried under a stream of nitrogen. The light yellow powder was produced, mp 205–206 °C (uncor), in essentially quantitative yield. Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{28}O_{12}Zr$: C, 55.3; H, 4.03; Zr, 13.1. Found: C, 55.2; H, 3.73; Zr, 13.1.

Bis(N,N'-disalicylidene-1,2-phenylenediamino)zirconium(IV), Zr(dsp)₂.⁶ Method A. The addition of a solution of 0.216 g (2.00 mmol) of 1,2-phenylenediamine in 30 mL of CH₂Cl₂ to a solution of 0.575 g (1.00 mmol) of Zr(sal)₄ in 70 mL of CH₂Cl₂ produced bright yellow Zr(dsp)₂ in over 70% yield after heating the solution for 1 h under reflux conditions, concentrating to about 20 mL, adding petroleum ether dropwise until a precipitate formed, cooling, filtering, and recrystallizing from benzene/petroleum ether (bp 61-70 °C).

Method B. A solution of 1.90 g of H_2dsp (6.0 mmol) in 600 mL of absolute ethanol under nitrogen was added to a solution of 0.97 g of zirconyl chloride (3.0 mmol) in 150 mL of absolute ethanol under N₂. A solution of 3.6 g of urea (60 mmol) in 250 mL of nitrogen-swept absolute ethanol was added. The cloudy solution was heated to the boiling point, and a yellow precipitate occurred. After the solution was heated under reflux conditions for 2.5 days, the yellow precipitate was filtered and air-dried; yield 1.6 g, >70%. Recrystallization was accomplished from benzene/petroleum ether. Thorough drying at 100 °C in vacuo for several days produced the

Thorough drying at 100 °C in vacuo for several days produced the anhydrous product. Anal. Calcd for $C_{40}H_{28}N_4O_4Zr$: C, 66.8; H, 3.92; N, 7.79; Zr, 12.7. Found: C, 67.0; H, 3.94; N, 7.76; Zr, 12.8.

Crystal Growth. Crystals for X-ray analysis were grown from saturated benzene/petroleum ether (bp 61-70 °C) solution of recrystallized Zr(dsp)₂. Crystals from pure benzene solutions were often very soft, and suitable crystals were not obtained from either THF or CH₂Cl₂ solutions.

Spectral Studies. Infrared spectra were obtained as KBr mulls with a Perkin-Elmer Model 180 spectrometer and calibrated against polystyrene. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained in deuterated solvents with a Perkin-Elmer Model R12 spectrometer; the tetramethylsilane reference was at τ 10.00. Ultraviolet and visible absorption spectra were obtained in solution in 1-cm matched cells with a Hitachi Model EPS-3T spectrophotometer. Mass spectral data for the zirconium complexes were recorded on a Hitachi Model RMU6L spectrometer and calibrated with perfluorokerosene.

Space Group Determination and Data Collection. The crystals deteriorated overnight when removed from the mother liquor, pre-

Table I. Atomic Coordinates for Nonhydrogen Atoms^a

atom	fra	ctional coordinat	es
type ^b	$10^4 x$	10 ⁴ y	10 ⁴ z
Zr	4992.0 (1)	7242.4 (1)	7408.1 (1)
OA OB	4109 (1)	7256(1)	8326 (1)
00	5044(1)	5705 (1)	7410(1)
OD	4132 (1)	8413 (1)	6755 (1)
NA	3010 (1)	6493 (1)	6190 (1)
NB	4690 (1)	6761 (1)	5635 (1)
NC ND	6477 (1) 5892 (1)	6959 (1) 8762 (1)	9069 (1)
C1	3753(1)	5992 (1)	4968 (1)
C2	2863 (1)	5866 (1)	5246 (1)
C3	1953 (1)	5103 (1)	4647 (1)
C4	1933 (1)	4472 (1)	3792 (1)
C5 C6	2814 (1)	4586(1)	3535(1) 4122(1)
CA	2089(1)	6665(1)	6290(1)
CA1	3069 (1)	7367 (1)	8232 (1)
CA2	2063 (1)	7124 (1)	7240 (1)
CA3	980 (1)	7256 (1)	7196 (1)
CA4 CA5	860 (1) 1837 (1)	7593(1)	8079 (1) 9042 (1)
CA6	2922 (1)	7696 (1)	9126 (1)
СВ	5244 (1)	7157 (1)	5193 (1)
CB1	6944 (1)	8044 (1)	6832 (1)
CB2	6304 (1)	7837(1)	5730(1)
CB3 CB4	7824 (1)	8814 (1)	5599 (1)
CB5	8446 (1)	9021 (1)	6689 (1)
CB6	8022 (1)	8637 (1)	7296 (1)
C7	7368(1)	7784 (1)	9665 (1)
C9	7906 (1)	8720 (1) 9561 (1)	9489 (1)
C10	9040 (1)	9445 (1)	10630(1)
C11	9329 (1)	8519 (2)́	10807 (1)
.C12	8502 (1)	7681 (1)	10325 (1)
	6498(1)	6165 (1)	9466 (1) 7914 (1)
CC2	5753 (1)	5233 (1)	8917 (1)
CC3	5739 (1)	4492 (1)	9488 (1)
CC4	5024 (1)	3588 (1)	8986 (1)
CC5	4351 (1)	3403 (1)	7902 (1)
CD	43/1 (1) 5410 (1)	4100(1) 9546(1)	7328 (1) 8856 (1)
CD1	3686 (1)	9147 (1)	7087 (1)
CD2	4285 (1)	9720 (1)	8120 (1)
CD3	3787 (1)	10531 (1)	8426 (1)
CD4 CD5	2717 (2)	10736(1)	7757 (1)
CD3 CD6	2602(1)	9391 (1)	6731(1) 6415(1)
CS1	8527 (2)	5861 (2)	3253 (2)
CS2	9066 (2)	5731 (2)	2620 (2)
CS3	9809 (2)	6510 (3)	2699 (2)
CS4 CS5	10030(2)	7394 (2)	3386 (3)
CS6	8762 (2)	6743 (2)	3948 (2)
CT1	2747 (1)	7509 (1)	2897 (1)
CT2	3149 (1)	7659 (1)	2202 (1)
CT3	3765 (1)	8555 (1)	2354 (1)
CT5	3626(1)	9290 (1) 91 46 (1)	3883 (1)
CT6	3002 (2)	8253 (1)	3759 (1)
CU1	8875 (2)	4961 (1)	9272 (2)
CU2 CU3	9737 (1) 10853 (1)	5546 (2) 5572 (1)	9243 (1) 9966 (1)
005	100000111	331411	7700(1)

^a Numbers in parentheses are the estimated standard deviation in the last significant figure. ^b Atoms of the chelate are labeled to agree with Figure 1. The 2.5 independent benzene molecules are CS1 through CS6, CT1 through CT6, and CU1 through CU3.

sumably due to loss of benzene from the crystalline solvate. A crystal having approximate dimensions of $0.20 \text{ mm} \times 0.30 \text{ mm} \times 0.40 \text{ mm}$ was cut from the tip of a larger lathe immediately after removal from the mother liquor. The crystal was quickly wedged into a thin-walled glass capillary which was sealed with a flame to prevent deterioration

Table II.	Thermal	Parameters	for	Nonhydrogen	Atoms ^a
-----------	---------	------------	-----	-------------	--------------------

atom			anisotropic	parameters			
type ^b	<i>B</i> ₁₁	B ₂₂	B ₃₃	B ₁₂	B ₁₃	B ₂₃	$B,^{c} \mathbb{A}^{2}$
Zr	2.52 (1)	2.88 (1)	2.43 (1)	0.31 (1)	1.15 (1)	0.19 (1)	2.62
OA	3.22 (4)	5.23 (5)	3.27 (4)	0.63 (3)	1.77 (3)	0.61 (3)	3.68
OB OC	3.10(4)	4.57(4)	3.44 (4) 3.28 (A)	-0.28(3)	1.62 (3)	0.01(3) 0.54(3)	3.64
OD OD	4.71 (4)	3.69 (4)	3.51(4)	1.27(3)	1.65 (4)	0.73(3)	3.93
NA	2.89 (4)	4.58 (5)	3.14 (5)	0.03 (4)	1.26 (4)	0.26 (4)	3.56
NB	3.19 (4)	3.63 (5)	2.42 (4)	0.46 (4)	1.23 (4)	0.03 (4)	3.08
NC	3.19 (5)	4.53 (5)	2.95 (5)	1.02 (4)	1.18(4)	0.58 (4)	3.54
C1	3.96(3)	3.6 (1)	2.4(1)	-0.18(4)	.9(1)	-0.23(4)	3.2
C^2	3.4(1)	4.0 (1)	2.6(1)	-0.1(1)	.8 (1)	-0.1(1)	3.5
C3	4.2(1)	6.5 (1)	4.3 (1)	-0.9 (1)	2.0 (1)	-0.7 (1)	4.9
C4	5.0 (1)	5.9 (1)	4.7 (1)	-1.4(1)	1.8 (1)	-0.9(1)	5.3
C5 C6	4.8(1)	4.5(1) 4.2(1)	3.0(1) 3.2(1)	0.5(1) 0.7(1)	1.6(1) 1.5(1)	-0.2(1)	4.4
CA	2.6(1)	5.5(1)	3.7(1)	0.1(1)	1.3(1) 1.1(1)	0.5(1)	4.0
CA1	3.4 (1)	3.3 (1)	3.8 (1)	0.4 (1)	2.1 (1)	0.9 (1)	3.3
CA2	3.1 (1)	4.1 (1)	3.8 (1)	0.4 (1)	1.7 (1)	0.5 (1)	3.6
CA3	3.3(1)	6.3 (1) 5 6 (1)	4.8 (1)	0.6(1)	1.8(1)	0.5(1)	4.7
CA5	5.4(1)	4.1(1)	5.6(1)	0.9(1)	3.8(1)	0.3(1)	4.4
CA6	4.2 (1)	4.6 (1)	4.0 (1)	0.6 (1)	2.3 (1)	0.6 (1)	4.1
CB	4.1 (1)	3.8 (1)	2.8 (1)	0.8 (1)	1.8(1)	0.4 (1)	3.4
CB1 CB2	3.0(1)	3.4(1)	4.3(1)	0.5(1)	2.2(1)	0.4(1)	3.3
CB2 CB3	4.9(1)	4.9 (1)	4.7(1)	0.4(1)	2.5(1) 2.6(1)	1.1(1)	4.6
CB4	5.6 (1)	6.3 (1)	6.3 (1)	0.2 (1)	3.6 (1)	1.9 (1)	5.4
CB5	4.5 (1)	6.3 (1)	6.2 (1)	-0.6 (1)	2.6(1)	1.0 (1)	5.4
CB6	3.7(1) 3.1(1)	5.6(1)	4.7(1)	0.1(1)	2.1(1)	-0.2(1)	4.5
C8	3.5(1)	4.6 (1)	3.2(1)	-0.4(1)	1.1(1)	-0.7(1)	3.9
C9	5.4 (1)	5.1 (1)	5.6 (1)	-0.8 (1)	1.9 (1)	-0.8(1)	5.6
C10	4.9 (1)	7.8(1)	6.4(1)	-1.8(1)	1.3 (1)	-1.5(1)	6.5
C12	4.0(1) 4.1(1)	6.7(1)	4.9(1)	-0.3(1)	.6 (1)	-0.8(1)	5.6
čĊ	3.8 (1)	6.0 (1)	2.9 (1)	1.7 (1)	1.1 (1)	1.2(1)	4.0
CC1	3.7 (1)	3.6 (1)	4.4 (1)	1.3 (1)	2.3 (1)	0.9 (1)	3.5
CC2	3.9 (1)	4.2 (1)	4.2 (1)	1.2(1)	2.1(1)	1.4(1)	3.9
CC4	7.1(1)	5.5(1)	7.9(1)	2.0(1)	4.5(1)	3.5(1)	5.7
CC5	6.6 (1)	4.1 (1)	7.4 (1)	0.4 (1)	3.8 (1)	1.6 (1)	5.5
CC6	5.1(1)	3.9 (1)	5.5 (1)	0.3 (1)	2.6(1)	0.8(1)	4.7
CD CD1	5.2(1)	3.4(1)	3.9 (1)	0.0(1)	2.6 (1)	-0.4(1)	3.9
CD2	4.7(1) 5.0(1)	3.3(1)	4.1(1)	0.8(1)	3.0(1)	0.9(1)	3.8
CD3	7.1 (1)	4.4 (1)	4.8 (1)	1.1 (1)	3.7 (1)	0.9 (1)	4.9
CD4	8.2 (1)	5.8 (1)	7.0 (1)	3.6 (1)	5.0(1)	2.3 (1)	5.6
CD5	6.6 (1)	7.5 (1)	6.1 (1)	3.5(1)	3.3(1)	2.8(1)	6.0
CD6 CS1	5.9 (1) 7.6 (1)	5.3(1) 9.7(2)	4.8(1) 114(2)	2.5(1) 17(1)	2.3(1)	$\frac{1.6(1)}{3.2(1)}$	5.0 8.8
CS1 CS2	8.0(1)	12.1(2)	8.6 (1)	3.6 (1)	3.8(1)	2.5(1)	9.1
CS3	9.4 (2)	18.7 (3)	11.5 (2)	2.7 (2)	6.8 (2)	6.3 (2)	10.7
· CS4	7.5 (2)	13.5 (2)	16.3 (3)	0.3 (2)	4.9 (2)	6.7 (2)	11.0
CS5 CS6	6.6 (1) 6 9 (1)	9.7 (2)	10.7(2) 11.1(2)	1.9(1) 1.7(1)	3.5(1) 50(1)	3.3(1) 2.3(1)	8.3
CT1	5.8(1)	7.4 (1)	8.8 (1)	0.3 (1)	3.2 (1)	2.3 (1)	7.1
CT2	8.2 (1)	7.4 (1)	5.8 (1)	-0.7(1)	2.9 (1)	0.1 (1)	7.2
CT3	10.9 (2)	9.0 (1)	8.3 (1)	-0.5(1)	5.5 (1)	2.3(1)	8.5
CT4 CT5	9.1 (1) 7 5 (1)	5.4 (1) 7 2 (1)	13.3(2) 98(2)	-0.4(1)	5.8 (2) 3.3 (1)	-2.2(1)	8,4 7,9
CT6	7.4 (1)	10.9 (2)	7.5 (1)	3.3 (1)	4.6 (1)	2.6 (1)	7.5
CU1	6.2 (1)	8.3 (1)	6.0 (1)	0.7 (1)	2.1 (1)	0.1 (1)	7.1
CU2 CU3	9.2 (1) 7.8 (1)	8.2 (1)	6.5 (1) 7.1 (1)	0.3(1) -2.1(1)	2.7(1) 3.7(1)	2.5 (1) 0.3 (1)	7.9 7.4

^a Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure. Anisotropic temperature factors are of the form $\exp[-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{13}hl + 2\beta_{23}kl)]$; the B_{ij} in A^2 are related to the dimensionless β_{ij} employed during refinement as $B_{ij} = 4\beta_{ij}/a_i^*a_j^*$. b See footnote b in Table I. ^c Equivalent isotropic thermal parameter calculated from $B = 4[V^2 \det(\beta_{ij})]^{1/3}$.

by loss of benzene. Preliminary investigations using an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 automated diffractometer and graphite-monochromated molybdenum radiation (fine-focus tube, 45 kV, 20 mA, takeoff angle = 3.1°, $\lambda(K\alpha_1) = 0.709 30$ Å, $\lambda(K\alpha_2) = 0.713 59$ Å) showed triclinic (1) symmetry, consonant with either space group P1 or P1. The lattice constants as determined by the least-squares refinement of the

diffraction geometry for 25 reflections having $10.52^{\circ} \le \theta_{MOK\bar{\alpha}} \le 13.35^{\circ}$ and as measured at an ambient laboratory temperature of $23 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}$ C are a = 13.037 (4) Å, b = 13.669 (6) Å, c = 14.500 (2) Å, $\alpha = 98.81$ (2)°, $\beta = 116.47$ (2)°, and $\gamma = 92.78$ (3)°. A unit cell content of two molecules of the Zr complex and five molecules of benzene gives a calculated density of 1.341 g/cm³ in agreement with the observed



Figure 1. ORTEP plot showing the $Zr(dsp)_2$ atom numbering scheme and molecular structure viewed down the pseudo twofold axis, which is coincident with one of the twofold axes of the $\overline{4}2m$ (D_{2d}) donor symmetry; cf. Figure 4. Thermal ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level. The numbers 1-8 are used in defining the δ dihedral angles.

density of 1.35 g/cm^3 as determined by flotation in aqueous KI. The space group $P\bar{1}$ (C_i^{1} -No. 2)⁷ was chosen on the assumption that there would be one molecule of the Zr complex in the asymmetric unit. This choice, which implies two molecules of benzene in general positions and one benzene molecule lying on an inversion center, was confirmed by all subsequent stages of solution and refinement.

Data were collected by using the $\theta - 2\theta$ scan mode with a θ scan range of $(0.80 + 0.35 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ centered about the calculated Mo K $\bar{\alpha}$ peak position. The scan range was actually extended an extra 25% on either side of the aforementioned limits for the measurement of background radiation. The scan rates varied from 1.12 to 4.02° θ/\min , the rate to be used for each reflection having been determined by a prescan. The intensity, I, for each reflection is then given by I =(FF/S)(P-2(B1 + B2)) where P are the counts accumulated during the peak scan, B1 and B2 are the left and right background counts, S is an integer which is inversely proportional to the scan rate, and FF is either unity or a multiplier to account for the occasional attenuation of the diffracted beam. The standard deviations in the intensities, σ_l , were computed as $\sigma_l^2 = ((FF)^2/S^2)(P + 4(B1 + B2))$ + 0.002*I*². A total of 5176 independent reflections $(+h,\pm k,\pm I)$ having $2^{\circ} \leq 2\theta_{MoKa} \leq 43^{\circ}$ were measured. Five standard reflections, monitored after every 12000 s of X-ray exposure time, gave no indication of crystal deterioration or loss of alignment. No corrections were made for absorption ($\mu_{MoK\bar{\alpha}} = 0.296 \text{ mm}^{-1}$), and the intensities were reduced to relative amplitudes by means of standard Lorentz and polarization corrections, including corrections for the monochromator.

Solution and Refinement of Structure. Initial coordinates for 39 of the 64 independent nonhydrogen atoms were obtained by direct methods (MULTAN). Initial coordinates for the remaining independent nonhydrogen atoms were obtained by standard Fourier difference techniques. Isotropic unit-weighted full-matrix least-squares refinement⁸ of the structural parameters for these 64 atoms and a scale factor gave a conventional residual $R = \sum ||F_0| - |F_d|| / \sum |F_0|$ of 0.086 and a weighted residual $R_w = \{\sum w(|F_0| - |F_d|)^2 / \sum w|F_0|^2\}^{1/2}$ of 0.093 for the 4485 independent reflections having $I \ge \sigma_I$. Anisotropic refinement employing variable weights $(w^{1/2} = 2F_0 Lp/\sigma_I)$ then gave R = 0.059 and $R_w = 0.075$. Coordinates for the 43 independent hydrogen atoms were then inferred from the required geometries of the molecules, using a C-H bond length of 0.98 Å. Because of the size of the structure (107 independent atoms) no attempt was made to refine the parameters for the H atoms, although their positional parameters were updated as refinement converged. Table III. Fixed Parameters for Hydrogen Atoms

atom	frac	tional coordin	nates	,
type ^a	10 ⁴ x	10 ⁴ y	10 ⁴ z	<i>B</i> , ^{<i>b</i>} Å ²
Н3	1320	5011	4831	5
H4	1284	3939	3370	6
H5	2798	4135	2932	5
H6	4368	5408	3943	5
HA	1343	6463	5660	5
HA3	287	7101	6512	5
HA4	96	7691	8028	5
HA5	1757	8008	9682	5
HA6	3602	7860	9819	5
HB	4907	6975	4425	5
HB3	6310	8091	4352	5
HB4	8138	9080	5172	6
HB5	9196	9446	7031	6
HB6	8483	8779	8064	5
H9	7699	10226	9833	6
H10	9635	10032	10974	7
H11	10130	8447	11278	7
H12	8720	7018	10452	6
HC	7068	6202	10200	5
HC3	6241	4616	10250	5
HC4	4993	3086	9392	6
HC5	3857	2761	7544	Ğ
HC6	3901	3946	6561	Š
HD	5847	10062	9491	5
HD3	4218	10950	9131	Š
HD4	2374	11288	7984	6
HD5	1355	10285	6272	Ğ
HD6	2179	9010	5692	š
HSI	7972	5320	3206	o o
HS2	8917	5096	2129	ó
HS3	10197	6435	2251	10
HS4	10564	7947	3421	10
HS5	9655	8125	4533	- Ŏ
HS6	8379	6817	4399	Ŕ
HT1	2279	6877	2787	š
HT2	2996	7129	1599	š
HT3	4043	8665	1846	
HT4	4451	9927	3264	ó
HTS	3798	9683	4483	
HT6	2741	8144	4278	Ř
HUI	8067	4931	8743	Ř
HU2	9548	5947	8695	Ř
HU3	11466	5985	9932	8
				~

^a Hydrogen atoms are labeled according to the carbon atom to which they are bonded. ^b B was set equal to 5 Å^2 if the last isotropic thermal parameter of the atom to which the H atom is bonded was less than 5 Å^2 . If the isotropic thermal parameter of the nonhydrogen atom was greater than 5 Å^2 , B was set equal to the next highest integral value.

Refinement including the hydrogen atoms as fixed isotropic contributions led to the final values of R = 0.041, $R_w = 0.049$ and $S^9 = 1.55$ for the 4485 reflections having $I \ge \sigma_I$. During the last cycle of refinement the largest shift in any parameter was 0.08 times its estimated standard deviation. A final difference Fourier synthesis showed a maximum density of 0.53 e/Å³.

Computations were done on a CDC 6600 computer (Model Cyber 74-18) using the direct methods program MULTAN, by Main, Germain, and Woolfson, Zalkin's Fourier program FORDAP, Prewitt's full-matrix least-squares program SFLS, Johnson's thermal ellipsoid plot program ORTEP, and several locally written programs.

Results

Synthesis. The reaction of stoichiometric amounts of freshly









Figure 3. Bond distances in Å and bond angles in degrees for the CD dsp²⁻ ligand. Esd's are 0.002-0.003 Å and $0.1-0.2^{\circ}$.

prepared tetrakis(salicylaldehydato)zirconium(IV), $Zr(sal)_4$, with 1,2-phenylenediamine in CH_2Cl_2 under N_2 produces a bright yellow $Zr(dsp)_2$ product, eq 1.

Structure of $Zr(dsp)_2$ ·2.5C₆H₆. The atomic coordinates and the thermal parameters for the nonhydrogen atoms are given in Tables I and II with the numbering system for the chelate shown in Figure 1. The analogous fixed hydrogen atom parameters are given in Table III. The bond lengths and angles of the two independent ligands are given diagrammatically in Figures 2 and 3. The bond lengths and angles around zirconium are given in Table IV.

The benzene solvate molecules are normal with an average C–C bond length of 1.362 (4, 13, 35) Å and an average C–C–C bond angle of 120.0 (3, 9, 23)°.¹⁰ The benzene solvate atoms are labeled S1 to S6, T1 to T6, and U1 to U3 in the tables.

The coordination polyhedron is a trigonal-faced dodecahedron (pseudo D_{2d} or $\overline{4}2m$) with θ_A and θ_B values of 33.4 (1, 2, 2) and 73.0 (1, 5, 5)° and M–A (Zr–N) and M–B (Zr–O) values of 2.428 (1, 15, 30) and 2.097 (1, 8, 14) Å, respectively.¹¹ The dihedral angles between the dodecahedral trapezoidal planes¹³ are 89.2° with or without zirconium. The planarity of these trapezoids is indicated in Table V, and the more recently developed δ and ϕ dihedral angles¹⁴ are given in Table VI All parameters point to a dodecahedral geometry with each ligand spanning mam edges.¹² The dodecahedral edge values for the Zr(dsp)₂ group are summarized in Table VII. Both the actual atomic coordinate and unit vector values are given.

Even though the donor atoms of each ligand are nearly coplanar, the ligands as a whole are quite distorted from planarity as is evident from Figure 4. The relevant torsion angles are listed in Table VIII. The major cause of nonplanarity appears to be rotation about the nitrogen-phenyl

Table IV. Bond Lengths and Angles Involving Zr in Zr(dsp),^{a,b}

		-	
	Len	gths	
Zr-OA	2.111	Zr-NA	2.443
Zr-OB	2.092	Zr-NB	2.398
Zr-OC	2.087	Zr-NC	2.429
Zr-OD	2.099	Zr-ND	2.441
	Ans	zles	
OA-Zr-OB ^c	144.9	OC-Zr-NA	75.1
OAZrOC	93.7	OC-Zr-NB	77.2
OA-Zr-OD	89.9	OC-Zr-NC	73.2
OA-Zr-NA	74.3	OC-Zr-ND	139.4
OA-Zr-NB	141.5	OD-Zr-NA	74.3
OA-Zr-NC	77.6	OD-Zr-NB	79.7
OA-Zr-ND	75.9	OD-Zr-NC	139.4
OB-Zr-OC	98.9	OD-Zr-ND	73.2
OB-Zr-OD	96.8	NA-Zr-NB ^c	67.1
OB-Zr-NA	140.6	NA-Zr-NC	135.6
OB-Zr-NB	73.6	NA-Zr-ND	135.4
OB-Zr-NC	75.0	NB-Zr-NC	132.2
OB-Zr-ND	73.3	NB-Zr-ND	133.7
OC-Zr-OD ^c	146.9	NC-Zr-ND ^c	66.3
Zr-OA-CA1	138.8	Zr-OD-CD1	134.0
Zr-OB-CB1	140.0	Zr-OC-CC1	134.8
Zr-NA-C2	115.5	Zr-ND-C8	113.9
Zr-NA-CA	126.4	Zr-ND-CD	126.8
Zr-NB-C1	116.3	Zr-NC-C7	114.7
Zr-NB-CB	125.9	Zr-NC-CC	126.3

^{*a*} Bond lengths in Å and angles in degrees; estimated standard deviations are 0.001 Å and 0.1°. ^{*b*} Atoms are labeled to agree with Figure 1. ^{*c*} Used to calculate $\theta_A = 33.4^\circ$ and $\theta_B = 73.0^\circ$.

Table V. Deviations in A from the Least-Squares Mean Trapezoidal Planes of the $Zr(dsp)_2$ Coordination Sphere and Dihedral Angles^{*a*}

Deviations from Planes

	plane I	plane II		plane III	plane IV			
OA	0.012	0.002	OC	-0.005	0.016			
OB	-0.011	-0.021	OD	0.005	0.025			
NA	-0.017	-0.022	NC	0.007	0.017			
NB	0.016	0.011	ND	-0.007	0.001			
Zr	(0.038)	0.029	Zr	(-0.077)	-0.059			
	Dihedral Angles (deg) between Pairs of Planes							
	I, III	89.2	I,	IV	89.2			
	II, IV	89.2	II,	III	89.2			

^a The best fit for the trapezoidal planes has been determined for the four donor atoms (planes I and III) and for the donor atoms plus Zr (planes II and IV).

Table VI. Other Dihedral Angle Parameters for the $Zr(dsp)_2$ Coordination Sphere^{*a*}

 dihedral angle	atomic coord	unit vectors	DOD	SAP	встр	
$\delta \begin{cases} 1(57)3\\ 2(68)4\\ 1(67)4\\ 2(58)3 \end{cases}$	28.0 19.6 22.5 25.8	39.1 30.1 33.0 36.7	29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5	0.0 0.0 52.4 52.4	21.8 0.0 48.2 48.2	
φav	0.8	0.7	0.0	24.5	14.1	

^a See Drew^{14C} for details on these parameters; DOD = D_{2d} dodecahedron; SAP = D_{4d} square of Archimedes antiprism; BCTP = C_{2v} bicapped-trigonal prism or hendecahedron; all values in degrees.

bonds, which produces torsion angles averaging 27.4°.

Spectra. The spectral properties of the $Zr(sal)_4$ and $Zr(dsp)_2$ chelates relative to their free ligands are collected in Table IX.

Discussion

Synthesis. The synthesis of the Schiff-base chelate from $Zr(sal)_4$ and phenylenediamine is a straightforward way of avoiding the solubility problems of certain Schiff-base ligands.

Table VII. Dodecahedral Edges in the Zr(dsp)₂ Unit

 edge ^a	bond length ^b	unit vector ^d	edge ^a	bond length ^b	unit vector ^d
	a edges ^c			b edges	
NA-NB NC-ND mean	2.677 (1) 2.662 (1) 2.670 g edges	1.106 1.093 1.100	OA-OC OC-OB OB-OD OD-OA	3.062 (1) 3.174 (1) 3.134 (1) 2.975 (1)	1.459 1.519 1.496 1.413 1.472
NA-OC NA-OD	2.774 (1) 2.757 (1)	1.208	mean	m edges	1.4/2
NB-OC NB-OD NC-OA NC-OB ND-OA ND-OB mean	2.807 (1) 2.890 (1) 2.855 (1) 2.765 (1) 2.810 (1) 2.720 (1) 2.797	1.247 1.281 1.253 1.217 1.229 1.194 1.231	NA-OA NB-OB NC-OC ND-OD mean b/a b/m	2.764 (1) 2.699 (1) 2.707 (1) 2.721 (1) 2.723 1.156 1.133	1.208 1.197 1.193 1.193 1.198 1.338 1.229
			b/g	1.103	1.196

^a See Figure 1. ^b In angstroms. ^c Reference 12. ^d HSM (hard sphere model) projects unit vectors (relative to equal M-L distances) a = m = g = 1.199 and b = 1.499 or b/a = b/m = b/g = 1.250; the most favorable polyhedron¹² has M-L_A/M-L_B = 1.03, a = m = 1.17, g = 1.24, and b = 1.49.

Table VIII. Torsion Angles in the dsp Ligands



torsion angle ^a	A	В	C .	D	av	
0-C(X1)-C(X2)-C(X)	5.4	4.9	0.7	0.8	3.0	
C(X1)-C(X2)-C(X)-N	6.1	8.8	11.7	14.0	10.2	
C(X2)-C(X)-N-Ph	11.6	9.1	8.1	6.0	8.7	
C(X)-N-Ph-Ph	24.0	27.2	29.2	29.4	27.4	
N-Ph-Ph-N	2.	.4	0.	9	1.6	

^a Angles given in degrees; X is used as a general designation of the A, B, C, and D parameters; Ph as shown in the structure.

For example, whereas Merrell and others¹⁵ have noted solubility difficulty in synthesizing H₄tsb chelates (where tsb⁴⁻ = the tetrakis(N,N',N'',N'''-tetrasalicylidene-1,2,4,5-tetraaminobenzenato anion), we have been able to synthesize zirconium polymers¹ by the analogous reaction between Zr(sal)₄ and 1,2,4,5-tetraaminobenzene.

The benzene solvate, $Zr(dsp)_2 \cdot 2.5C_6H_6$, which is readily obtained upon crystallization of $Zr(dsp)_2$ in benzene/petroleum ether solutions, easily loses the solvation molecules, which is indicative of only weak interactions between the chelate and benzene. The structural results substantiate this conclusion. No extensive stacking is apparent in the solvate crystals.

Structure. The most amazing aspect of the $Zr(dsp)_2$ structure at first glance is the obvious dodecahedral geometry of the donor atoms, even though the remainder of the ligand atoms are quite skewed from the two trapezoidal planes spanned by the donor atoms (Table V); cf. Figure 4. These donors fit Orgel's rule^{3a} as extended by Clark and co-workers^{3b} to d⁰ ML₄L'₄ coordination polyhedra. That is, the N atoms are in the dodecahedral A positions and the O atoms are in the dodecahedral B positions. The latter are π -donor type ligands which can overlap with the empty d π orbital (the b₁ orbital in full D_{2d} symmetry).¹⁶ This perpendicular intersection of ligands is a logical stereochemical arrangement too; however, the extreme skewness of the ligands without an accompanying distortion of the donor atoms shows that the dodecahedral Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 18, No. 10, 1979 2913



Figure 4. ORTEP plot of the structure of $Zr(dsp)_2$ showing the pseudo $\overline{4}2m$ (D_{2d}) donor symmetry, the pseudo mirror plane of the ligands, the perpendicular donor trapezoids, and the skewness of the ligands to the trapezoids. Thermal ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.

preference at the metal atom is more than just chance.¹⁷ The δ angles (Table VI) and the dodecahedral edge lengths (Table VII) of the Zr(dsp)₂ coordination polyhedron suggest that the small distortions which the donor atoms exhibit from an idealized dodecahedron are not along the reaction coordinates toward either a square antiprism or a bicapped-trigonal prism; cf. ref 14c.

The ring distortions from planarity are significant in magnitude and apparently result from the best fit for minimizing ring strain plus molecular packing. The puckering occurs most significantly at the nitrogen atoms with Ph-Ph-NX-CX torsion angles from 24.0 to 29.4° (Table VIII). In the more planar Cu(dsp) thiourea solvate^{2c} the analogous torsion angles are apparently less than 5°. Torsion angles of $6.0-14.0^{\circ}$ also occur in the rest of the open-chain conjugated backbone in the zirconium chelate, whereas all reported distortions from planarity are less than 7° in the copper chelate.^{2c} Even greater nonplanarity is possible; e.g., dsp²⁻ in the Co^{III}(dsp)(dbm) chelate,^{2b} where dbm⁻ = dibenzoyl-methanato, has a β -cis-type orientation



i.e., one puckered aldimine ring occupies a cis position relative to the remainder of the chelating ligand. Even with the rotations noted in the zirconium chelate, the nitrogen atoms are trigonal planar (within 0.03 Å). Thus the sum of the three angles about the nitrogen atoms are all 360° within experimental error as anticipated for trigonal nitrogen with the fourth valence orbital involved in π bonding. Even in the cobalt(III) chelate,²⁶ they are 357–358°, still quite close to planarity.

A consideration of the metal-ligand distances and angles shows no particular surprises. The fairly long Zr-N distances relative to the Zr-O distances, when viewed as numbers, differences, or ratios, are quite typcial for eight-coordinate d^0 chelates which have neutral nitrogen donors in the A positions and negatively charged oxygen donors in the B positons as indicated in Table X.

The small θ_A value (33.4°) is not too surprising given the long Zr–N distances, the ortho nitrogen atoms in each ligand, and the ring to nitrogen interior angles of less than 120°. In fact, the angles are very similar to those observed for UO₂-(dsp)(C₂H₅OH),^{2a} a seven-coordinate chelate with the same Schiff-base ligand. Other eight-coordinate d⁰ chelates also Table IX. Spectral Characterization of $Zr(dsp)_2$ and $Zr(sal)_4$

Zr(dsp) ₂	H ₂ dsp	$Zr(sal)_4^a$	Hsal	assignment(s)
1305	1270	Infrared, ^b cm ⁻¹ 1305	1275	Ph–O str
		1318 [1321] [1348]	[1271] [1324]	
1605	1607	[10:0]		C=N str
		1600	1620	C=O str
		[1630]	1630 1640 1650	
	3400-3450 w		3200 vbr	O-H str
23 800 sh 27 000 (4.53)		Ultraviolet-Visible, ^c cm ⁻¹ [23 300] [26 400 sh] 27 000	[28 500]	
29 900 sh 31 300 sh 33 000 sh 34 400 (4 61)	29 900 (4.28)	29 300	30 300	
39 800 (4.90)	36 900 (4.37)	36 500 sh [38 300] >41 600	[37 500] 38 800	
	N	Juclear Magnetic Resonance.	, d	
	-3.0 (1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-1.05 s(1) [-1.0]	ОН
1.50 s (1)	1.34 s (1)	0.65 s (1) [0.45]	0.07 s (1) [0.06]	aldimine/ aldehyde
2.57 s (2)	2.6 m (2)			aromatic (diamine)
2.9 m (2) 3.5 m (1) 4.0 m (1)	3.1 m (4)	2.5 m (2) 3.2 m (2) [2.9 (2), 3.3 (1)] [6.25 s (3)]	2.5 m (2) 3.0 m (2) [3.0 (3)] [6.15 s (3)]	} aromatic (sal) OCH1
718 (100) 701 (22) 612 (22) 522 (25)		Mass Spectra, m/e^e 574 (≤ 0.1) 453 (10) 122 (100) 121 (75)		parent peak
359 (28) 300 (15)		104 (25)		(parent peak) ²⁺
224 (12) 77 (15)	,	93 (24) 76 (30)		
		66 (20) 65 (49)		
		53 (13) 50 (15) 39 (90)		

^a Analogous values for the 3-methoxy derivative are given in brackets. ^b KBr pellets; w = weak; vbr = very broad. ^c log ϵ (molar extinction coefficient) in parentheses; CH₂Cl₂ solvent; sh = shoulder. ^d CD₂Cl₂ solvent; s = singlet; d = doublet; m = multiplet; relative integrated intensities in parentheses. ^e The m/e values is followed by relative intensities as measured with a solid-probe inlet heated to 180-220 °C and source temperatures of 225-260 °C; other assignments in Discussion; only the ^{9°}Zr component is listed for the Zr multiplets.

 Table X. Bond Length Parameters for Related d⁰ Chelates^a

	~				
chelate	М-О	M-N	(M-N) - (M-O)	(M-N)/ (M-O)	ref
Zr(esam)	2.055	2.539	0.48	1.24	19
Zr(q)	2.106	2.405	0.30	1.14	16a
$Zr(dsp)_2$	2.097	2.428	0.33	1.15	this study
Zr(nta) ₂	2.130^{b}	2.439	0.31 ^b [0.19] ^c	1.15^{b} $[1.09]^{c}$	20
$\begin{bmatrix} UO_2(dsp) \\ (C_1H_2OH) \end{bmatrix}$	[2.26] ^d	[2.545] ^d	$[0.28]^d$	$[1.11]^d$	2a

^a All distances are average distances in A; esam⁻ = N-ethylsalicylaldiminato; nta³⁻ = nitrilotriacetato; q⁻ = 8-quinolinolato. ^b For the O atoms in B positions as above. This is a ZrN_2O_6 polyhedron. ^c For the O atoms in A positions. ^d A seven-coordinate complex with all dsp donors in a plane perpendicular to the UO₂ axis.

possess θ_A values [Zr(esam)₄, 34.6°;¹⁹ Zr(nta)₂²⁻, 34.8°;²⁰ Zr(q)₄, 35.7°¹⁷] which are lower than the hard-sphere 36.9°

value¹² but are closer to the most favorable model value of $35.2^{\circ,12}$ The Zr(dsp)₂ $\theta_{\rm B}$ value (73.0°) is a little larger than the hard-sphere value (69.5°)¹² but is close to both the most favorable model value (73.5°)¹² and the results for the Zr-(esam)₄ chelate (73.3°).¹⁹

The alternating bond lengths of the Schiff-base chelate rings are quite normal; cf. Table XI. Note that skewed rings and donor atoms out of the plane of the other donor atoms [e.g., the second values for the cobalt(III) chelate Co(dsp)(dbm)] do not alter the values appreciably. Also, note that the teminal oxygen-oxygen distances can vary by almost 2 Å without disrupting the other parameters. Thus, the quadridentate Schiff-base is able to chelate with distortions appreciably greater than would be required to go from a dodecahedral to an antiprismatic polytope.

Spectral Characterization. The infrared spectral shifts (Table IX) are similar to analogous complexes with other metals. The phenolic C–O stretch is shifted from about 1280

Table XI. Ligand Parameters in H₂dsp and dsp²⁻ Chelates^a

bond ^b	Zr(dsp) ₂ ^c	$UO_2(dsp)(C_2H_5OH)^d$	Co(dsp)(dbm) ^e	Cu(dsp) ^f	Co(dsp) ^{g,h}	H ₂ dsp ^g
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Lengths	· .		
$O_{C}(\mathbf{X}_{1})$	1 318	1 34	1.297. 1.351	1.31	1.298	1.345
C(X1)-C(X2)	1 416	1.39	1.429, 1.406	11015	1.424	1.421
$C(\mathbf{X}_{2}) - C(\mathbf{X}_{2})$	1 4 3 9	1 44	1.412, 1.442	1.43	1.418	1.451
$C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{X})$	1 300	1 30	1 290 1 284	1 29	1.317	1.288
N_Dh	1 4 2 9	1.30,	1 427 1 436	1 4 2	1 4 3 4	1 421
Ph_Ph	1 396	1.41	1 387	1.72	1 390	1
Ω_N hite	2 7 2 3	2 75	2 796 2 637	2.82	2 730	(2 599)
N_N hite	2.723	2.75 5	2.790, 2.037	2.62	2.758	(2.355)
0-0	4.010	4.44	2.693	2.63	2.473	3.522
			Angles			
ÓX-CX1-CX2	121.3	119 7	124 2 123 7	124.3		
CY1-CY2-CY	121.5	124.6	123.2, 123.7	124.3		
CX2-CX-NX	125.6	124.0	125.2, 110.0	125.0		
CX-NX-Dh	119 1	118.6	122.3, 121.9	123.0	121.0	121.0
NV Dh Dh	116.1	115.6	114 0 112 1	115.0	121.0	121.0
NV DL DL	110.4	113.0	175 9 175 6	122.0	125.2	1257
NA-FII-FII	123.0		123.0, 123.0	125.0	123.3	123.7 120.8^{i}

^a Bond lengths in A, angles in degrees. ^b Composites of A, B, C, and D parameters listed as X; Ph = phenyl carbons attached to nitrogens,

Ph' = next carbons in phenyl rings; cf. Table VIII. ^c This study; 2.5C₆H₆ solvate. ^d Reference 2a. ^e Reference 2b; Hdbm = dibenzoyl-methane; second value is for "cis" side of ligand. ^f Reference 2c; thiourea solvate; average value for two independent molecules. ^g Reference 2d. ^h Monoclinic cobalt(II) form. ⁱ Large rotation (52°) about N-Ph on this side.

 cm^{-1} to greater than 1300 cm^{-1} in both $Zr(dsp)_2$ and $Zr(sal)_4$, analogous to other chelates of H_2dsp^{24} and $Hsal.^{25}$ The C=N stretch near 1610 cm⁻¹ is very similar to that in the free ligand, as has been noted in other chelates containing the dsp²⁻ ligand.²⁴ The OH stretch of each free ligand disappears upon chelation.

Although the ultraviolet and visible spectra of the chelates were recorded, no interpretations or assignments have been made. The changes in the $Zr(dsp)_2$ spectrum from that of the free ligand are similar to previous observations for Schiff-base chelates of thorium²⁶ and iron;²⁷ i.e., additional transitions are evident.

The proton NMR spectra also verify the chelation with shifts of about 0.6 ppm. The retention of a sharp singlet for the aldehydic proton, the shifts in the aromatic protons, and the absence of the phenolic hydroxy ligand resonance in $Zr(sal)_4$ are all consistent with an eight-coordinate chelate. The $Zr(dsp)_2$ addimine resonance is a sharp singlet shifted upfield from the ligand resonance. The aromatic protons ortho to either the phenolic group or the aldimine group are shifted upfield out of the other aromatic signals and all integrate properly for a symmetric or nonrigid structure.

The mass spectrum of $Zr(dsp)_2$ exhibits a strong parent peak, with a cluster of peaks consistent with the zirconium isotopic abundances. The m/e 718 base peak corresponds to the monomeric chelate with one zirconium-90 atom, which is most abundant isotope of the element. Other major peaks at m/e 701, 612, and 522 correspond to loss of OH⁻, C₆H₄C- H_2O^- , and $C_6H_5NCHC_6H_4O^-$, respectively. The next strongest peak at m/e 359 is the doubly charged parent chelate.

The strongest peaks in the $Zr(sal)_4$ spectrum are the charged ligand and fragments thereof, and the strongest peak containing zirconium is the $Zr(sal)_3^+$ peak. The loss of one ligand in the ionization of zirconium chelates has been observed for $Zr(acac)_4$, where the parent peak is only 1% of the $Zr(acac)_3^+$ peak,²⁸ even though the chelate is eight-coordinate.²

Overall, the spectral characterization is consistent with eight-coordination for both the $Zr(dsp)_2$ and $Zr(sal)_4$ chelates. In fact we had communicated⁶ this conclusion prior to embarking on the X-ray structure reported herein.

Acknowledgment. Analyses were performed by the University of Massachusetts Microanalytical Laboratory. The research was supported through a University of Massachusetts/National Science Foundation Materials Research Laboratory grant. We thank the University of Massachusetts Computer Center for generous allocation of computing time.

Registry No. Zr(dsp)₂·2.5C₆H₆, 70953-88-7; Zr(sal)₄, 65531-97-7; Zr(acac)₄, 17501-44-9; tetrakis(3-methoxysalicylaldehydato)zirconium(IV), 70891-74-6; 1,2-phenylenediamine, 95-54-5.

Supplementary Material Available: Table XII, bond lengths and angles for the benzene solvate molecules; a list of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes (18 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

References and Notes

- (1) Archer, R. D.; Batschelet, W. H.; Illingsworth, M. L. Org. Coat. Plast. Chem., in press.
- (a) Bandoli, G.; Clemente, D. A.; Croatto, U.; Vidali, M.; Vigato, P. (2)A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1971, 1330. (b) Cummins, D.; McKenzie, E. D.; Milburn, H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 130. (c) Ferrari, M. B.; Fava, G. G.; Pelizzi, C. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1976, 32, 901. (d) Pahor, N. B.; Calligaris, M.; Delise, P.; Dodic, G.; Nardin, G.; Randaccio. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 2478.
- (3) (a) Orgel, L. E. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1960, 14, 136. (b) Clark, R. J. H.; Lewis, J.; Nyholm, R. S. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 2460. Young, R. C.; Arch, A. Inorg. Synth. 1946, 2, 121.
- (5)(a) Pfeiffer, P.; Hesse, T.; Pfitzner, H. J. Prakt. Chem. 1937, 149, 217. (b) Senier, A.; Shepeard, F. G.; Clarke, R. J. Chem. Soc. 1912, 101, 1955.
- Archer, R. D.; Illingsworth, M. L. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1977, 13, (6) 661.
- "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography"; Kynoch Press: (7)
- Birmingham, England, 1969; Vol. I, p 75. The function minimized is $\sum w(|F_0| |F_c|)^2$. Atomic form factors for nonhydrogen atoms were taken from: Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 104. Scattering factors for hydrogen atoms were taken from: Stewart, R. F.; Davidson, E. R.; Simpson, W. T. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3175. Real and imaginary components of the anomolous dispersion for Zr were taken from: Cromer, D. T. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 17.
- (9) Goodness of fit $S = [\sum w(|F_o| |F_c|)^2/(N_o N_v)]^{1/2}$, N_o = number of observations = 4485, and N_v = number of variables = 577.
- (10) The values in parentheses following the average values are the rootmean-square values of individual esd's and the mean and maximum deviations from the average. Details of the benzene ring structures are available as supplementary material.
- The standard Hoard-Silverton¹² nomenclature and appropriate dihedral angles^{13,14} are used herein. Refer to the original references for details.
 Hoard, J. L.; Silverton, J. V. *Inorg. Chem.* **1963**, 2, 235.
- (13)
- Lippard, S. J.; Russ, B. J. Ibid. 1968, 7, 1686.
 (a) Porai-Koshits, M. A.; Aslanov, L. A. J. Struct. Chem. (Engl. Transl.)
 1972, 13, 244. (b) Muetterties, E. L.; Guggenberger, L. J. J. Am. Chem. (14)Soc. 1974, 96, 1748. (c) Drew, M. G. B. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1977, 24, 179.
- (15) Merrel, P. H.; Osgood, R. A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1975, 14, L33.
- Such an A and B position sorting generally occurs for oxygen and nitrogen donors whenever possible; e.g., compare the structure of $Zr(q)_4^{17}$ with (16)consistence of 2^{10} , 2^{10} , 2^{10} , 2^{10} , 2^{10} , 2^{10} and 2^{10} , 2^{10} and 2^{10} , 2^{10} also sort in an analogous fashion, although the latter has two of its six oxygen donors in A positions esam⁻ =

N-ethylsalicylaldiminato and nta3- = nitrilotriacetato. However, sulfur and oxygen donors do not sort in this fashion.²¹
 (17) Lewis, D. F.; Fay, R. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 1046.

- (18) Bonds, W. D., Jr.; Archer, R. D.; Hamilton, W. C. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 1764
- (19) Bradley, D. C.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Rendall, I. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1970, 368.
- (20) Hoard, J. L.; Willstadter, E.; Silverton, J. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 1610.
- (21) (a) Steffen, W. L.; Hawthorne, S. L.; Fay, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6757. (b) Hawthorne, S. L.; Bruder, A. H.; Fay, R. C. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 2114. (c) Steffen, W. L.; Fay, R. C. Ibid. 1978, 17, 2120.
- (22) The structural characterization of an analogous d^2 species [e.g., $W(dsp)_2$] would be particularly enlightening because the positions of the N and O donors in the dodecahedron should be reversed. Thus, the ligand would no longer span m and a edges. Instead, the reversal would cause an

Notes

Contribution from the Departments of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong, and The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1W5

Synthesis and Structure of

1-Methyl-1,3,5,7-tetraazaadamantan-1-ium Octaiodide, $[(CH_2)_6N_4CH_3]_2I_8$. A New Outstretched Z Configuration for the Polyiodide Ion I₈²⁻

P. K. Hon, Thomas C. W. Mak, and James Trotter*

Received April 25, 1979

Among members of the halogen family, iodine exhibits the highest tendency for catenation, giving rise to a variety of polyiodide species of the general formula I_x^{n-1} These anion complexes, which can be either discrete or polymeric, crystallize with large counterions such as Cs⁺, R₄N⁺, and protonated organic nitrogen compounds. Values of x ranging from 3 to 16 are known, with n = 1 for x odd and n = 2 or 4 for x even. While a rather large number of triiodides has been extensively investigated, ^{1,2} relatively little is known about the higher polyiodides, and the bulk of our knowledge about these systems is derived from X-ray crystallographic studies. The only well-established discrete higher polyiodide entities are $I_4^{2^-,3,4}$ $I_5^{-,5,6}$ $I_8^{2^-,7}$ $I_9^{-,8}$ and I_{16}^{4-9} (Table I). Other higher polyiodides, such as (phenacetinH) I_5 ,¹⁰ (quinuclidineH) I_5 ,¹¹ [(C_2H_5)₄N] I_7 ,¹² and [(pyridine)₂I] I_7 ,¹³ adopt infinite network structures in which no separate polyiodide aggregates can be distinguished.

Recently we prepared¹⁴ and determined the crystal and molecular structure¹⁵ of hexamethylenetetramine oxide (1,-3,5,7-tetraazaadamantane N-oxide), (CH₂)₆N₄O. In an attempt to prepare donor-acceptor complexes from the system $(CH_2)_6N_4O-I_2-CHCl_3$, we unexpectedly isolated the title compound as one of the products. Structural characterization of this new polyiodide, as reported in the present paper, revealed an outstretched form of the I82- anion differing from the Z configuration observed in its cesium salt⁷ (Figure 1).

Experimental Section

Hexamethylenetetramine oxide, (CH₂)₆N₄O, was prepared by the reaction of hexamethylenetetramine with 30% aqueous hydrogen peroxide and recrystallized from chloroform.¹⁴ The title compound was obtained by slow evaporation of a chloroform solution of $(CH_2)_6N_4O$ (1.95 × 10⁻³ M) and I₂ (3.53 × 10⁻³-5.30 × 10⁻³ M) in air at ambient temperatures. A small crop of black crystals with dark metallic luster was deposited after several days. When carbon

* To whom correspondence should be addressed at The University of British Columbia.

alternate wrapping such as gbg, which allows the ligands to wrap without severe distortions from planarity and with the N and O donors in the appropriate positions.²³ The two chelate ring b edges for this isomer would need to be somewhat shortened, which might lead to another isomer with less ligand planarity instead.

- (23) Donahue, C. J. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, 1977.
- (24)(a) Bellamy, L. J.; Branch, R. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1954, 4491. (b) Marvel, C. S.; Aspey, S. A.; Dudley, E. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 4905.
 (c) Mehrotra, R. C.; Gupta, V. D.; Bharara, P. C. Indian J. Chem. 1973, 11, 814.
 (d) Biradar, N. S.; Goudar, T. R.; Mahale, V. B.; Kulkarni, V. H. J. Karnatak Univ. 1973, 18, 19.
- (25)
- Percy, G. C.; Thornton, D. A., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1973, 35, 2719. Biradar, N. S.; Kulkarni, V. H., Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1972, 387, 275. Bertrand, J. A.; Eller, P. G. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 927. (26)
- (27)
- (28)Tsuge, S.; Leary, J. J.; Isenhour, T. L. Anal. Chem. 1973, 45, 198.
- (29) Silverton, J. V.; Hoard, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1963, 2, 243.



Figure 1. Dimensions of the I_8^{2-} ion in (a) Cs_2I_8 and (b) [(C- $H_2)_6N_4CH_3]_2I_8$. The signed figures represent deviations (in Å × 10⁻²) of atoms from an exactly planar configuration.

tetrachloride was used to wash the crystals, a reddish violet color appeared in the resultant liquid. After the product had been stored in a clear plastic vial for a few hours, reddish violet stains appeared on the inside wall. These observations indicate that some I2 molecules adhere loosely to the surface of freshly prepared crystals. Anal. Calcd for [(CH₂)₆N₄CH₃]₂I₈: C, 12.68; H, 2.28; N, 8.45. Found: C, 12.81; H, 2.22; N, 8.55. Upon heating, the black crystals changed irreversibly into a light orange color at about 127 °C and eventually melted at 160 °C. A better yield of the compound was obtained by reacting equivalent quantities of $(CH_2)_6N_4$ and CH_3I and an excess of I_2 in chloroform. However, two other kinds of relatively unstable orange and reddish brown crystals appeared in the same preparation. These side products were suspected to be (CH₂)₆N₄·I₂¹⁶ and (CH₂)₆N₄·2I₂¹⁶ and were manually separated from the black crystals of $[(CH_2)_6-$ N₄CH₃]₂I₈.

A crystal in the shape of a polyhedron with cross sections of 0.2-0.45 mm was selected. Preliminary precession photographs and accurate measurements on a Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with graphite monochromator and Mo K α radiation (λ 0.71069 Å) indicated space group $P2_1/c$ and the following cell parameters: a = 11.258 (2) Å, $\tilde{b} = \hat{9}.827$ (1) Å, c = 14.150 (3) Å, $\beta = 92.08$ (1)°, and V = 1564.4Å³. For Z = 2 and a molecular weight of 1325.74, the calculated density is 2.814 g cm⁻³, in reasonable agreement with the measured density of 2.79 (2) g cm⁻³ by flotation in carbon tetrachloride/ 1,1,2,2-tetrabromoethane.

Intensities for 4540 unique reflections with $\theta < 30^{\circ}$ were collected by using the ω -scan technique, and three standard reflections were measured every hour to check the stability of the crystal and the electronics. Data were corrected for absorption ($\mu = 80.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) by the Gaussian integration method,^{17,18} and transmission factors ranged from 0.031 to 0.151. Of the complete data set, 2736 independent reflections (60.3%) had intensities greater than $3\sigma(I)$ above background.

The structure was solved by direct methods, and all 15 nonhydrogen atoms in the asymmetric unit were refined anisotropically by fullmatrix least-squares techniques. In the last cycle all 12 methylene H atoms were introduced and assigned the same isotropic temperature factor $B = 5.0 \text{ Å}^2$, yielding a conventional discrepancy index $R \equiv \sum ||F_0|$ $-|F_{\rm c}||/\sum |F_{\rm o}| = 0.096$. The final difference map did not reveal